



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,994	03/11/2004	Shinji Hayashi	086142-0655	4641
22428 75	90 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			CULBRETH, ERIC D	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 07/0/ 2000	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,994	HAYASHI, SHINJI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric Culbreth	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11&10/14/04,6/05.) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- a. Reference numeral 100 should not be underlined (Figures 1-6), as underlining indicates the numeral rests on the part.
- b. In Figure 3, line B-B should be 4-4, as a section line should be named for the figure it represents (also "B-B" should be changed to "4-4" in the text of the specification at paragraph [0025] and at paragraph [0030], line 10).

Art Unit: 3616

c. Similarly, in Figure 3 line C-C should be renamed 5-5 (also note paragraph [0030], line 11).

- d. In Figure 7, reference numeral 200 should not be underlined.
- e. In Figure 8, reference numeral 300 should not be underlined.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the second extended portion having a gradual stepwise reduction (claims 6-7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). (Note the figures only illustrate the first extended portion having stepwise reduction.) No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 3616

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Paragraph [0019] is an incomplete sentence (", is provided" should be deleted).
- b. In paragraph [0035], line 1 "opposes" should apparently be "oppose" for proper grammar.
 - c. Also regarding paragraph [0035], line 1, it is not what 104 opposes.
- d. Paragraph [0048], lines 1-5 are an incomplete sentence ("and" should be inserted before "gas supplying means" in line 4).
 - e. In paragraph [0049], line 5 "door" should be "doors".
 - f. In paragraph [0049], line 6 "toward" should apparently be "away from".
- g. Regarding paragraph [0054], line 4, the portions between the holes are not projectiles (they would appear so in the cross section drawing, but they do not "project" from the back surface of the cover).
 - h. In paragraph [0055], line 2 "door" should be "doors".Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction

Art Unit: 3616

of the following is required: There is no support in the specification for the second extended area having gradual stepwise reduction (claims 6-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5, 8-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. There is no antecedent basis for "the width of the portion" in claim 5.
- b. In claim 8, line 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the second extended portion" (claim 8 depends from claim 1, and the second extended portion was not recited in claim 1).
- c. In claim 9, lines 1-2 there is no antecedent basis for "the deployment action".
 - d. Claim 11 is inaccurate in reciting the first recess including holes.
 - e. Claim 20 should only end with one period.

Priority

7. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Art Unit: 3616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1, 3, and 8-9 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sawada et al US00RE36898E.

Sawada et al discloses (claim 1) airbag cover 1 with a groove including holes or slits 1C extending discontinuously within the range of thickness of the airbag cover and a portion of reduced thickness which is thinned in the direction of the thickness at the end area of the groove (see Figure 2, where a beveled thinned area extends from the end of each groove along a lateral edge of the airbag cover). As the area is beveled, its thickness varies gradually (claims 1 and 3). The vertical wall of the airbag cover are "thickened portions" adjacent the extended portions as broadly recited in claim 8. Hinged portions between the edges of the lateral grooves in Figure 2 allow the cover to undergo a deployment action as indefinitely recited in claim 9.

Art Unit: 3616

10. Claims 1-2 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al US 20040207181A1.

As noted above, there is no certified English translation of applicant's foreign priority document.

Hayashi et al discloses cover 1, groove 2 with holes 2b and a thinned portion 2C in Figure 4 reduced gradually in thickness at an end area of the groove (claim 1). The area of the extended portion 2C reduces gradually as it gets away from the end of the groove toward an end of the air bag (claims 2 and 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 10 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada et al in view of Preisler et al US006467801B1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sawada et al to include joint ribs parallel to the hinge portion as taught by Priesler et al (note Figure 3, where there are ribs below and above the hinge portion 20) in order to reinforce the area around the hinge.

Art Unit: 3616

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 4 and 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 14. Claims 5, 12-18 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Culbreth whose telephone number is 571/272-6668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571/272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Culbreth Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616

Ena Calbrith

ec